Decision by Portfolio Holder

Report reference: AMED-002-2012/13

Date of report: 28 June 2012



Portfolio: Asset Management and Economic Development

Author: Chris Pasterfield (Ext 4124) Democratic Services: R Perrin

Subject: Pyrles Lane Nursery, Pyrles Lane, Loughton

Decision:

To submit an outline planning application for the redevelopment of Pyrles Lane nursery for residential use.

ADVISORY NOTICE: A Portfolio Holder may not take a decision on a matter on which he/she has declared a prejudicial interest. A Portfolio Holder with a personal interest must declare that interest when exercising delegated powers. I have read and approve/do not approve (delete as appropriate) the above decision: Comments/further action required: Date: 28.06.12 Signed: Cllr Grigg Personal interest declared by Portfolio Holder/ Dispensation granted by Standards Committee: conflict of interest declared by any other Yes/No or n/a consulted Cabinet Member: None Office use only: Call-in period begins: 06.07.12 Expiry of Call-in period: 12.07.12

After completion, one copy of this pro forma should be returned to Democratic Services <u>IMMEDIATELY</u>

Reason for decision:

That the site be sold for a substantial capital receipt subject to the service being re-located to a new depot for Grounds Maintenance in Oakwood Hill.

Options considered and rejected:

To keep service located at Pyrles Lane.

Background Report:

At the 30 January 2012 the Cabinet gave approval to DDF expenditure which included £9,000

Initialled as original copy by Portfolio Holder:

for consultants to complete the planning application for Pyrles Lane Nursery.

Resource Implications: Fees will be paid from existing consultant fees allocated from DDF funding agreed at Cabinet on 30 January 2012.

Legal and Governance Implications: None

Safer, Cleaner and Greener Implications: None

Consultation Undertaken:

Asset Management Co-ordination Group.

The Portfolio Holder has met with local members to explain the outline of the scheme.

Background Papers: N/A

Impact Assessments:

Risk Management

Equality and Diversity:

None

Did the initial assessment of the proposals contained in this report for relevance to the Council's general equality duties; reveal any potentially adverse equality implications? No

Where equality implications were identified through the initial assessment process, has a formal Equality Impact Assessment been undertaken?

No

What equality implications were identified through the Equality Impact Assessment process?

No

How have the equality implications identified through the Equality Impact Assessment been addressed in this report in order to avoid discrimination against any particular group?

N/A

Key Decision Reference (Y/N): N